OLL 83-2048 8 September 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD Introductory Meeting with Messrs. Latimer, O'Neil, SUBJECT: and Berry, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) Staff, for 25X1 25X1 On 7 September 1983 Chief, House Liaison, Office of Legislative Liaison, and 25X1 met with: Mr. Thomas K. Latimer, HPSCI Staff Director Mr. Michael J. O'Neil, HPSCI Chief Counsel Mr. Steven Berry, HPSCI Counsel for Subcommittee on Legislation The purpose of the meeting was to introduce 25X1 the senior HPSCI staff and to explain my agenda and intended approach to my responsibilities. 25X1 We represented my agenda to be Directorate for Administration issues in the largest sense, with initial and probably primary focus on any and all personnel management/ employee benefit, entitlement, and/or allowance issues. cited as examples the issues of Social Security supplemental retirement, potential dual retirement system contributions (Social Security and Civil Service) for employees hired on or after 1 January 1984, and recently introduced proposed changes to the Federal Employee Health Benefits Program (FEHBP). We then spent some time distinguishing between broad federal employee issues, such as pay from more narrowly defined CIA issues such as CIARDS. We then went 25X1 on to explain why I am interested in both. On my next visit with Messrs. Latimer and O'Neil I will expand upon my non-personnel management agenda (i.e., large system developments underway in various of the DDA offices including SAFE, CAMS II, MERCURY; and other major issues such as CIA office space requirements--principally the new building). I did not deem it appropriate to unload too broad an array of issues at our initial introductory

25X1

25X1

session.

4. We explained to Mr. Latimer and the others that we wanted to work with the HPSCI, and through it, with other	
appropriate committees in the House, in attempting to get a	
handle on and develop an understanding of Congressional	
initiatives concerning supplemental retirement plans and any other initiatives introduced on the Hill that would affect	
CIA employee allowances, entitlements, and benefits. We	·
mentioned that the DCI and DDCI were both deeply concerned	
about, and committed to, the welfare of Agency employee	
allowances and entitlements and cited two phenomena to illus-	
trate their concern-a CIA personnel management referent in	•
the Agency's legislative liaison apparat (me) and the recent changes made in the management structure of the	
Office of Personnel.	25 X 1
5. Messrs. Latimer, O'Neil and Berry appeared to accept	•
my agenda and the reasons behind it at face value and	
expressed a complete willingness to work with and through me as issues arose in the future. Mike O'Neil asked if I would	
now be the HPSCI point of contact on DDA issues, personnel	
management or other, and I said yes, at least initially.	25 X 1
6 Manager Tationary and a planting	
6. Messrs. Latimer and O'Neil took the opportunity of this meeting to send what and I think were intended to	25X1
be very clear signals to the Agency. Succintly, they were:	23/
	25 X 1
a. They are irritated that the CIA continues to	
approach HPSCI at the eleventh hour and plead that	
HPSCI intercede with another House Committee and amend	
introduced legislation to address one or another CIA equity. No examples were cited;	25X1
edarel. We evembres were Cifed:	23 X I
b. The HPSCI staff suffers from insufficient	

information concerning anything that will ultimately impact the Intelligence Community in a legislative

either in Office of Management and Budget (OMB) or within the Community (in our case, in the CIA). They want to be informed more fully and sooner, than has

previously been the case;

context. They feel they either don't hear at all or only hear too late about issues or initiatives gestating

25X1

As a follow-on to the point immediately above, they indicated that the HPSCI had insufficient resources to be its own eyes and ears on the Hill and in the Administration and would look favorably upon our adding to their knowledge base any time we were able to do so. and I, will discuss this 25X1 more fully upon return from Central America: 25X1 They commented, upon receiving a classified report from ____during our meeting, that they are very 25X1 sensitive both about receiving substantive intelligence and about not receiving same. They cited as an example, about a year ago, discovering the existence of a report they called the CAR (Central America Report) that, when they requested access to it, were told it no longer existed. Clearly, they perceived that we were withholding information from them; 25X1 They want us to accept a redefinition of the DCI's Community role (my words, not theirs) that includes administrative cognizance of all Community agencies, as well as his more traditional roles of budgetary and substantive collection/production cognizance. As examples, they cited overseas pay and domestic relocation allowance. They source their concerns directly to HPSCI members and, most specifically, Congressman Robinson (R., VA) who, on such issues, will immediately ask whether Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) and National Security Agency (NSA) either already enjoy whatever CIA is requesting, or whether they are included in the initiative the CIA is putting forth. While they recognize that we couldn't always come to them (in the case of overseas pay, for example) with a fully coordinated legislative

initiative, at least we should report to them where each Community agency stood on the issue. The point boiled down to: ensure that when the DCI requests legislative support he does so in his Community role and not within

25X1

his more narrowly defined Director, CIA role; and

The CIA is only one of many agencies over which the HPSCI exercises oversight. The CIA seems to feel that the Agency is either the only, or the premier, agency and should receive preferential treatment. fact, they stated it is only one of many and cannot be treated differently. 25X1 7. Very few examples were cited by Messrs. Latimer and O'Neil as they shared with us their perceptions of our oversight relationship. and I, will work 25X1 through them and revisit each issue as appropriate. 25X1 25X1 Liaison Division Office of Legislative Liaison Distribution: Original - OLL Record 1 - D/OLL 1 - DD/OLL - C/LD/OLL - C/LEG/OLL 1 - C/SL/OLL - C/HL/OLL - TBC Subject 1 - TBC Chrono - OLL Chrono 25X1 LD/OLL: gg (8 September 1983)